

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/501,559	07/16/2004	Andrei Feldman	060546.3	4475		
27799 COHEN, PON	7590 07/31/200 TANI, LIEBERMAN &	EXAM	EXAMINER			
551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			ABDI, A	ABDI, AMARA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		2624				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/31/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/501,559	FELDMAN, ANDREI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Amara Abdi	2624	

		Amara Abdi	2624	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 23 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
ar ar fo	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on opilication, applicant must timely file one of the following in opilication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe r Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C priods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🗵		of the final rejection		
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO
have bee under 37 set forth may rede	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of a fine is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
fil N	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter otice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with MENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
	) They raise new issues that would require further con		ΓE below);	
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		al characteristic to a st	
(6	<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appear by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	le issues ioi
(d	) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
	NOTE: see the continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1	.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 T	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
	applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
_ no	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allon-allowable claim(s).		•	
ho Ti	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) by the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
C	laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to:			
č	laim(s) rejected:			
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
er sh	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a htered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. 🔲 I	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	·			

/Brian Q Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624 the added limitations: "patient's jaw" and "the artifacts resulting from the presence of metal in the patient's mouth" are considered as a new issues, and require further search, therefore, the application is still not in good condition for allowance.